British Nationalist

FOR RACE AND NATION

JULY 1986

12p

Our opinion of the hippie 'convoy'...

SCUM OF THE EARTH

PROCESSION OF FILTH
The 'convoy' shuffles on, down the lanes of Wiltshire, Somerset, Dorset and Hampshire.

RECENTLY the British public, switching on their TV sets to get the evening news, were treated to regular daily shots of the latest collection of human garbage to litter our country: the hippie 'convoy', which, exercising its squatters' 'rights' parked itself in various places in Wiltshire, Somerset, Dorset and Hampshire — in every case making itself thoroughly objectionable to local residents, damaging local land and occupying large numbers of police who would have been far better engaged on other duties.

In one case the 'convoy' dumped itself on the land of a Somerset farmer causing damage reckoned to come to over £5,000. The farmer could not remove them without waiting several days for a court order, the reason for this being an idiocy of the law preventing him from getting their immediate eviction — a law designed to protect 'squatters' who park on property that is not theirs, usually disused housing accomodation.

The excuse given for the failure to remove the hippies from the land on which they trespassed was that, if that were made possible by law, great numbers of innocent hikers could be prosecuted for trespassing on land they had entered onto inadvertently. This is of course ridiculous; people in the latter category could be politely informed that they were trespassing on private land and asked to leave by the quickest route; only if they then refused should they be prosecuted; exactly the same criteria should be applied to the hippies.

UNWASHED

The hippie saga in the counties mentioned lasted several days. During those days we were treated to a sight of what a portion of our population has come to. These people are, not to put too fine a point on it, the scum of the earth. Pictures of them on TV made it clear that most of them had not had a wash for many weeks, if not months. It is bad enough that they themselves choose a way of life of filth and stench; what is ten times worse is that they are allowed to subject their small children, who do not know any better, to that same way of life.

They claim of course that they have 'opted out' of society; but there comes one day every week when they conveniently opt back into society for the purpose of claiming their social security cheques. These are made available to them because of the contributions of the productive sector of the population, whom of course they despise.

In the face of their repeated 'occupations' of land on which they had no right, the deployment of the police against them was ridiculously soft, the final removal of them from their last encampment in the New Forest area of Hampshire coming much too late after nearly two weeks messing about and procrastination which amounted to a scandalous waste of police time — to say nothing of the inconvenience to those people and bodies on whose property thay dumped themselves.

WASTE OF POLICE TIME

With crime in Britain soaring at an unprecedented rate, every available man-hour of police time is precious and needs to be used to the very best effect, yet here we have all these thousands of man-hours frittered away maintaining the safety and 'rights' of what can only be called the sediment of humanity.

Of course in the big public discussion about the 'convoy' there was no end of sympathy for the hippies. Every verbose little liberal and progressive in parliament and press came forward to shout on behalf of their 'rights'. The columns of *The Guardian* newspaper were, predictably, crammed with letters and comment in sympathy with them — a sympathy that can always be assured such social dross whenever it manifests itself.

WHAT WE WOULD HAVE DONE

What then should have been done with the hippie 'convoy'? What would we have done with it? This is what we would have done:-

Upon the very first 'squat', the police would have been sent in, if necessary with help from the army, which is plentiful thereabouts. The garbage would have been loaded into trucks and first taken to a public baths and delousing centre where they would have been given their first wash probably for a long time — by their own volition if that was forthcoming, by other means if necessary. They should then have been taken to court and prosecuted both for trespassing and causing damage to property. Children should have been taken out of their custody and put into care until such time as the parents were considered fit to look after them again. They then should have been put to work as forced labour — until such time as they had paid the bill for the all the damage they had caused to the owners of the property on which they had encamped, as well as the public bill for the police operation involved in removing them.

When this process was done with, they should then, like every other claimant for social security in this country, be enlisted in a scheme similar to the American 'Workfare' project, under which they would join municipally organised work parties in return for their benefits — a scheme advocated by the British National Party for Britain long before news of its operation in America had reached this country.

SOFTNESS

That we do not employ such measures against this human litter is a token of how soft we as a nation have become. That these hippies have even a grain of sympathy from politicians and

Contd. on page 3

The Attack on South Africa

Government caves in before anti-white lies and hysteria

THE PROPAGANDA against South Africa in the media and among politicians of all established parties, left-wing degenerates within the clergy and self-styled 'anti-apartheid' street agitators is now attaining a pitch of stridency that can only be described as hysterical. The leading figures of Britain's Government and ruling establishment are showing little ability or willingness to stand firm in this climate, deliberately created by the anti-South Africa lobby, previously described in this paper as internationally co-ordinated by a cosmopolitan conspiracy backed by world finance.

Current agitation involves demands that economic sanctions should be imposed against South Africa by this country. As their most recent pretext for these demands, the anti-South African lobby has seized on the elementary measures of self-protection taken by the Whites against the threat of further insurrection from the Communist-backed 'African National Congress' (ANC), including the recent proclamation of a state of emergency in South Africa.

It seems not to matter to the anti-South African brigade in Britain, which includes many left-wingers who profess to be concerned about unemployment in this country, that economic sanctions against South Africa would be disastrous for the British economy and would put many more out of work still.

Despite this, the stampede produced by this anti-South African offensive (in which it is ludicrously claimed that if the South African Whites do not hand over power there will be a 'Bloodbath') is such that even The Queen is said to be applying pressure to the Government to go along with sanctions. Her Majesty is said to be concerned for the 'unity' of the Commonwealth — to which we are inclined to ask: what unity? Many of the member countries of the Commonwealth now howling for sanctions against South Africa are banana states which themselves would be even further ruined by such sanctions and indeed are only kept afloat today by huge aid programmes by courtesy of the British taxpayer. And yet they feel they are entitled to tell us what to do!

Britain's ruling establishment, true to form, is now trembling before the threats of these Commonwealth banana states and other 'Third World' pauper nations also dependent on our aid. It looks now as if the desire to appease these primitives will take precedence over our solidarity with our fellow Whites in South Africa, a million of whom are of British descent.

The ANC's most prominent black agitators have not been slow to get the message indicated by this show of British weakness. Oliver Tambo, the ANC front man currently enjoying a comfortable exile in Britain, says that British workers should accept the loss of jobs which sanctions would cause in order to bring down their South African

TAMBO

fellow Whites. Does our Government, as it should do, tell the likes of Tambo to go to hell? Not a bit of it! The latest is that an official Government representative, Mrs. Lynda Chalker, has had an official meeting with Tambo in his capacity

Contd. on page 3

British **Nationalist**

No. 59 JULY 1986

Editor: John Morse

NEEDED: AN INDEPENDENT BRITISH NUCLEAR DETERRENT

OVER THE PAST MONTH we have been treated to an exhibition of disunity within the Liberal-SDP Alliance over the question of the future of the British nuclear deterrent. The Alliance had produced a report concluding that no decision need by taken on a replacement for Britain's American-built Polaris missiles, and left open the question of whether, on becoming obsolete within the next few years, the Polaris system should be replaced at all.

Immediately, an acrimonious squabble erupted within the SDP. Dr. David Owen, who is described as a 'hardliner' on this issue, opined that Britain should have 'a minimum deterrent' just sufficient to strengthen the European pillar of NATO. Except that he wishes Britain's defences to be subject to control within a European framework rather than a wholly American-dominated one,his position is rather similar to that of the Tories.

No sooner had Dr. Owen uttered these views than he received a tongue-lashing from SDP President Shirley Williams, who maintained that he was speaking purely for himself and that his personal opinions on the issue were not SDP policy. The current position of the party, Mrs. Williams maintained, was that it would be willing to replace Polaris "under certain circumstances" but was not committed to doing so. Any decisions on the matter would have to be subject to "disarmament negotiations" (between the USA and USSR) "and the views of our allies."

Although Owen's views seem to have prevailed in the SDP, the Liberals remain much more inclined in the direction of unilateral nuclear disarmament. The Liberal Party contains many CND supporters who believe that Britain should simply do away with any nuclear weapon capability, putting herself entirely at the mercy of global forces and thereupon without any means of ultimate redress.

In actual fact there is little to recommend any of these schools of thought within the Liberal-SDP Alliance. What is of interest is that the Alliance displays almost the entire range of thinking on national defence, and the existence of a British nuclear capability, to be found among the established political forces in modern Britain.

The assumption is always that Britain's nuclear weapons policy, and indeed her overall national defence, must be subordinated to international arrangements in which foreign powers, whether the United States, the NATO Alliance, or the 'super-powers' through their 'disarmament negotiations', call the tune and where our own power of deterrent, nuclear or conventional, is severely curtailed, if not completely renounced. Never is the issue of our nuclear weapons capability related to what is really at stake: Britain's survival as a sovereign nation in a world of hostile powers.

This imperative should be the starting point in formulating British nuclear defence policy. The reality of the modern age is that no nation without a nuclear capability can to any extent guarantee its independence to act as it thinks fit from the point of view of its own interests, and therefore in reality no such

Contd. on back page

POLICE CHIEF PROMOTES MULTI-RACIALIST LIES

Met. Commissioner Newman plugs 'racial attacks' propaganda of race-mixing lobby

BOSS OF THE MET. A toady

IN AN OFFICIAL REPORT to some of his political masters, Metropolitan Chief Commissioner Sir Kenneth Newman has endorsed the claims of the multi-racialist lobby that Blacks and Asians in Inner London are being attacked and victimised by white people. In his memorandum to the House of Commons Race Relations and Immigration Sub-Committee, a body made up of Westminster politicians of all established parties one of whose function is to devise new measures of coercion and intimidation for the enforcement of multi-racialism against the British people, Sir Kenneth has implied that so-called 'racial attacks' by Whites against non-Whites are a frequent and widespread phenomenon, and that inner city Blacks and Asians are the hapless victims of white 'racism'.

Throughout his report, Newman refers to what he calls 'racial incidents' which are, in his view, ''as socially damaging a problem as could be imagined.'' A 'racial incident', according to the official Metropolitan Police definition, is ''any incident **whether concerning crime or not**, which is **alleged by any person** to include an element of racial motivation, or which appears to the reporting or investigating officer to include such an element'' *(our emphasis)*.

Thus the 'racial incidents' about which Sir Kenneth talks in such solemn tones are not at all identical with racially motivated attacks on members of 'ethnic minorities'. Many do not even involve any breach of the law, let alone physical violence, whilst others depend purely on allegation and supposition! Typical examples of the latter are to be found in repeated claims by 'ethnic minority' agitators and their leftist allies that arson attacks affecting members of the Asian community in particular are the work of 'white racists', despite the absence of supporting evidence and the superior likelihood that they are largely the outcome either of feuding among elements within that community or of the destruction of property in order to claim insurance.

THE REALITY

This nebulous nonsense, which Sir Kenneth Newman chooses to speak as if he believed in it, is in sharp contrast to the true reality of inner city life — that racial attacks are overwhelmingly committed by members of ethnic minorities against **Whites**. Unlike the vague hearsay on which the allegations of black suffering at the hands of Whites appear largely to be based, 'ethnic minority' violence directed against Whites, both as individuals and as a community, is well attested both by published official facts and figures and by common observation and common sense.

It would appear that the Metropolitan Commissioner seriously wants the British public to swallow the idea that the 'racial incidents', as defined by his own force, and to which he refers, supposedly involving victims of 'ethnic minority' origin, are as 'socially damaging', in his already quoted phrase, as the obvious and proven large-scale incidence of violent inner city muggings, assaults, riots and rapes — categories of crime in which the figures released by the force that he himself commands clearly show 'ethnic minority' elements to be involved out of all proportion to their numbers in the general population, and in which there is good evidence, going back to the 1970s, that a disproportionate number of the victims are white.

IN THE FRONT LINE
Newman's men (seen here) have to face the bricks and the petrol bombs on the streets while he sits back in the comfort of his office, currying favour with his political masters by talking multi-racialist drivel.

COLD STATISTICS

Indeed figures published by the Home Office in 1982 show that, of the 2,851 racial incidents recorded in the preceding period, in only 775 cases were Coloureds reported as victims, compared with the 2,076 incidents which Whites were shown to be the victims of Coloureds. There is no evidence that this pattern has changed. On the contrary, everything suggests that this is the continuing reality of inner city life. Any survey of both the local and national press will tend to confirm this. And there can be no doubt that it is among the **white** population that the climate of insecurity is growing, as these areas of our country are effectively abandoned to the political, social and psychological domination of their increasingly self-confident and organised non-white elements.

Of course it is probable that muggings of white women by black gangs would not be classified, according to police criteria, as being 'racially motivated' but would be considered as ordinary crimes in pursuit of monetary gain. This does not alter the fact, as we have stated earlier, that the vast majority of these crimes have involved white victims. If such crimes do not contain some racial motive, along with the ordinary criminal motive, why is it that so few black women are mugged?

THE FACE OF BLACK AGITATION
Constant complaints against police from black political militants have driven police bosses into policy of craven appeasement

VESTED INTEREST

We also have to take into account that the 'anti-racist' lobby itself has a very powerful vested interest in raising the alarm about 'racial attacks' on members of minority groups, for that helps to create a climate favourable to increasingly oppressive legislation against **all** those who oppose the multi-racial society. In order that such an alarm might be raised, nothing is easier than to fabricate a series of 'attacks' in the form of smashed windows, slogans scrawled on house walls and minor cuts and bruises on persons, then to get those affected to claim a 'racial' motive!

The real background to this ludicrous propaganda in which truth is stood on its head and the chief of police in Britain's capital city rushes to endorse the lie is the surreptitious seizure of power by what amounts to a conspiracy dedicated to the racial ruin of the British people. This conspiracy combines and co-ordinates a number of factors. There are the activities of the race-mixing politicians of all established political parties, both at Westminster and in the local councils; the bribery, blackmail and intimidation of establishment figures by efficiently organised alien pressure groups; and the violence of the multi-racial street mob in the face of a cowardly ruling political establishment enfeebled with 'liberal' ideas and attitudes.

APPEASEMENT

Newman's report on 'racial incidents' is no more than another typical example of the fawning appeasement practised by Britain's present-day establishment servants towards the 'ethnic minorities'. It must be understood that while the ordinary constable on the beat shares, in most cases, his fellow countrymen's dislike of the multi-racial society, the police chiefs at very top level are thoroughgoing political appointees, who would not occupy the positions they do unless they were found to be reliable establishment toadies who will uphold establish-

Contd. on back page

BRIXTON CRIME EXPLOSION

AS METROPOLITAN POLICE Commissioner Sir Kenneth Newman agonizes in public over so-called 'racial attacks' which 'ethnic minority' elements are now claiming to suffer at the hands of Whites, Scotland Yard has just disclosed crime figures for the Brixton area of South London. Muggings in Brixton have shot up by 70 PER-CENT this year!

Figures for the first quarter of 1986, compared with the same period of last year, show the number of muggings in Brixton to have risen from 306 to 524. The Lambeth area as a whole has suffered an increase from 692 to 947.

Serious sex crimes in Lambeth, including rapes, rose in the same periods from 38 to 53.

A major growth in drug-trading has been monitored across the district. This has involved dealing in both heroin and cannabis, and is said to be characteristic of 'racially sensitive' areas.

These disgraceful figures and facts are attributed to what are described as the 'increasing problems' of police in providing protection in such areas. The growing anarchy and chaos, in the face of which police have seemingly been reduced to such impotence, are clearly a result of the establishment's policy of appeasement in the wake of large-scale rioting and its surrender to 'ethnic minority' demands. Matters now appear to have reached a stage whereby the black criminal element, by its uncontrolled violence, is virtually setting the terms on which these neighbourhoods may be policed, if not actually pushing the police out altogether!

It is to this outcome of the multiracial experiment that police chiefs, including Sir Kenneth Newman, should be addressing their concern and devoting their energies.

Likewise there are the utterly revolting sexual attacks on elderly women, in a disproportionate number of cases involving Blacks and in almost all cases white women. Where the woman is younger, such an attack might be regarded as having, at least partially, a sexual motive — although the motive of racial degradation could be, and undoubtedly often is, also present. In cases when the women are very old, any normal sexual motive should be ruled out, and this increases the probability that a motive of racial degradation was present.

It is almost certain, however, that none of these crimes are recorded among those considered to be 'racially motivated', if only because those reporting them do not think to describe them as such.

On the other hand, it is the easiest thing in the world for members of racial minorities, when reporting incidents against themselves, to describe them as having a racial motive, even if no such motive is present in the minds of the culprits. There is a section of the

We will not be silenced!

DESPITE PROSECUTIONS AGAINST TYNDALL AND MORSE, THE FIGHT FOR TRUTH WILL GO ON



JOHN MORSE
Not only edits our paper but sells it, as seen here

JOHN TYNDALL AND JOHN MORSE, who work in joint collaboration to produce this paper, are due to appear on the 7th of this month at Southwark Crown Court to face charges of 'conspiring' to stir up 'racial hatred' in consequence of material published in the paper in opposition to coloured immigration and multi-racialism. Mr. Tyndall is facing additional charges arising out of material published in the magazine 'Spearhead' and leaflets produced on behalf of the British National Party.

The two men will defend themselves against these charges on the basis that the material published was deemed to relate to matters of grave national concern over which there should be a free and frank public debate.

As this issue goes to press, the fate of the two men is not yet known. However, it has been encumbent upon them to take into consideration the possibility that one or both of them might be given terms of imprisonment and contingency plans have been made to ensure the running of the party and the output of its literature in their absence, should this be necessary.

In the event of Mr. Tyndall being imprisoned, it will be necessary to suspend publication of *Spearhead* for the duration of his absence. It is hoped still to continue publication of *British Nationalist*, though in these circumstances regular monthly publication cannot be guaranteed. It is also possible that the paper may suffer some slight decline in production quality, as it would be necessary to employ on production people without the fullest experience in that field of work. In the event of Mr. Morse also being imprisoned, the difficulties involved in getting out *British Nationalist* will be still further increased, but nevertheless every effort will be made to overcome them.

Whatever happens as an outcome of the case, the British National Party, its spokesmen and its publications **will not be silenced** but will continue the fight to bring the truth to the British people.

A sum of £350 still has to be raised to pay the expenses involved in the legal representation of the two defendants. Please send all you can to this cause. Remittances should be made out to the BNP Legal Defence Fund and sent to PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

JOHN TYNDALL
On trial with Morse for saying what over 60 per-cent of British people think

Nationalists take sledgehammer to Mandela statue

A TRIO of British National Party activists, led by South London Organiser Richard Edmonds and comprising Ian Dell and Liam Kernaghan, were arrested in the early hours of the morning of the 24th June after being caught attempting to smash the statue of Nelson Mandela on London's South Bank.

RICHARD EDMONDS
Spoke for 7 million Londoners

CONSIDERABLE DAMAGE INFLICTED

The BNP men had got to work on the fibre glass statue with a sledgehammer and already inflicted considerable damage on Mandela's face when the noise of their operation attracted the attention of police, who apprehended them before they could get away. They are due to appear in court on the 8th of this month on charges arising out of the incident.

The attack on the statue was not a scheduled party activity but was undertaken entirely on the personal initiative of the three men concerned. It is, however, one that we entirely endorse and applaud. Mandela is a foul terrorist who has both preached and organised murder against innocent people of all races in South Africa in his attempt to bring down the government there. Also a confessed communist and leader of the 'African National Congress', a red front organisation dedicated to violence, he was rightly imprisoned for life under South Africa's anti-terrorist laws. The erection of a statue to him in the centre of London, paid for by the former GLC out of public funds, is an insult to the people of our capital city. The attempt to destroy the statue was 100 per-cent justified, and we stand by the men who made it.

Richard Edmonds has volunteered to take personal responsibility for paying any fines and damages incurred in the case. We do not believe, however, that he should do this, and we appeal to all BNP supporters, as well as ordinary Londoners and other British people enraged by the GLC's glorification of this murderous thug, to help meet the bill. Contributions should be sent to: BNP Legal Defence Fund, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.



SLEDGEHAMMERED
Mandela after 'the treatment'

YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — It was with considerable disgust that I read a recent report in the *Sunday Telegraph* predicting that the Government plans to reject a recommendation that the ritual slaughter of animals should be banned in Britain. At present, Jews and Moslems are exempt from Britain's strict laws relating to animal slaughter.

Although the recommendation was made by the Government's own Farm Animal Welfare Council, ministers apparently fear that any attempt to end the barbaric methods of slaughter practised by Jews and Moslems would damage the Conservatives' chance of obtaining the support of these groups at the next general election.

The *Telegraph* report ends with the news that the Minister of Agriculture, Mr. Jopling, has so far received 255 representations in favour of the recommended ban compared with 2,196 against it.

For a country that is reputedly peopled by animal lovers, this is quite disgraceful. I therefore urge every British Nationalist who is sickened by these alien practices being perpetrated against animals to inform Mr. Jopling by letter without delay.

RONALD RICKCORD
Milton Keynes, Bucks.

Sir, — In the House of Commons Labour MP for Leicester West, Mr. Greville Janner, recently declared: "...In other words, the days of Attila the Hun have not gone from the factories of Leicester." It is interesting to take note that the Huns were Asiatics who invaded Europe in the 4th Century.

Not long ago the *Leicester Mercury* uncovered sweatshop operations in Leicester. When it became clear that the majority of the sweatshop operators were from 'ethnic minority' backgrounds (mainly Asian) the campaign against them was virtually dropped.

On Friday, May 16th, the *Leicester Mercury* carried a report on a planning enquiry being held at the City Hall.

On behalf of the business operators alleged to be in breach of planning controls, Mr. Peter Parsons said: "About 90 per-cent of the wholesale knitwear market in the city is in the hands of the Asian community, which generates valuable employment."

The published list of the companies concerned shows them all to be located in Mr. Janner's constituency. According to the MP, "We have sweatshops growing. We have the cowboys moving. We have home-workers exploited."

Just for a change, British Nationalists have reason to be grateful to Janner and the *Mercury* for their valuable role in exposing the sweatshop scandal!

JOHN PEACOCK
Leicester

IN THIS PAPER there occur from time to time articles and reports which reflect unfavourably on some sections of the racial minorities in Britain, such as facts about crime, rioting, etc. These facts, and any opinions that are expressed in connection with them, are intended to persuade our readers of the failure of multi-racialism, not to encourage anyone to express hatred against members of racial minority groups. We oppose this hatred because it is entirely counter-productive and diverts attention from the real issue, which is that coloured immigrants and native British Whites should be SEPARATED — in the interests of both. There are a number of members of racial minorities who agree with us in this view, and we welcome their co-operation in achieving our common goal, which is peaceful and humane repatriation or resettlement of racial minorities in suitable countries overseas.

We are fully aware, when we make reference to anti-social behaviour by racial minority members, that is there is much anti-social behaviour also by native Whites, for instance football hooliganism, which is a mainly white phenomenon. When this anti-social behaviour by Whites occurs, we condemn it as much as we condemn similar behaviour by coloureds. Just as we feel it legitimate, however, to point out that football hooliganism is a form of behaviour for which Whites are mainly responsible, so we also maintain it to be legitimate, when racial minorities are implicated in certain forms of anti-social or criminal behaviour out of proportion to their numbers, to report this frankly and to discuss its implications for Britain's future.

In conclusion, we urge all those who feel angered by the results of the multi-racial experiment not to vent their anger on innocent members of racial minorities, but to join with us in taking lawful political action to oppose the politicians who have created the problem.

SCUM OF THE EARTH

(Contd. from front page)

media is a token of the rot that has penetrated British public life.

From the pictures of this foul-smelling menace to public hygiene, it is clear that the vast majority are white. This paper does not hesitate to condemn Blacks and other racial minority members who engage in anti-social behaviour. By the same token, we condemn this section of the indigenous white population, which constitutes a disgrace to this country.

That we even produce such rubbish, let alone encourage it and subsidise it, is an indication that we have sunk very low indeed.

THE ATTACK ON SOUTH AFRICA

(Contd. from front page)

as representative of the ANC. This meeting is itself interesting. A short time ago the Government refused to have a meeting with the Palestine Liberation Organisation (PLO) on the grounds that its leaders would not renounce terrorism. Yet the ANC has similarly refused to renounce terrorism; on the contrary, it has just made threats of a new campaign of terror against white civilians in South Africa, including children. Yet this has not deterred the British Government from meeting its representative on an official basis! Is terrorism by South African Blacks against South African Whites permissible while terrorism by Arabs against Jews is not? If so, why?

As all this is going on, the clownish black Bishop Tutu maintains that Britain and America are at fault in that, according to his own reckoning, they put people of their own blood before Africans. If only they did! This black insolence would seem to be founded on a growing awareness that the governments of the Western nations, including our own, are so weak that they can be prevailed upon by these threats to sell out their own race. Of course precisely the same process led some years ago to the similar sell-out of White Rhodesia.

ABSURD MYTHS

One of the most absurd myths in all this anti-South African hysteria is that, if 'apartheid' is not dismantled the Blacks in South Africa will unleash a civil war which will topple the South African state. In fact there is not the slightest chance of this happening. The South African Whites have ample military means to ensure the survival of white government. The Blacks would only have a chance of taking over if they were heavily backed by armed force from outside South Africa, involving the participation of some of the leading powers. On their own they are impotent and they know it.

The only possibility of the South African Whites losing control would lie in their loss of nerve and will. Unfortunately, there seems a chance that this may happen if the present South African Government remains in office. It has already made some foolish and dangerous concessions to its country's enemies in bringing down racial divisions and enfranchising part of the non-white population. Indeed it is this weakness of the Botha Government that has caused the present turmoil in South Africa. Had the country a government of the type of Dr. Verwoerd, a great white leader, there would still be peace and order there.

THE MONEY POWER

The other great myth is that all the present anti-South African agitation is founded on a desire to help the Blacks. It is nothing of the kind. It is directed by international finance in league with international communism (not for the first time!) and is aimed solely to deprive the Whites in South Africa of power so that an alternative source of power may be established that will be completely subservient to the money power. The money power already has its agent well installed in South Africa in the person of the Zionist multi-millionaire Harry Oppenheimer, who in addition to owning most of the gold and diamond wealth of the country also controls most of the English language press, which he has ceaselessly used to undermine white government. The so-called 'South African' press in fact uses just the same machinery of lies to subvert the nation as does its British counterpart here — thus leading to the very justified restrictions against it and other journalists by the South African Government. It is interesting in this regard that our press and BBC reporters have been screaming 'censorship' against the South African Government because of its clamp-down on news about disturbances, but the very same people are only too ready to impose their own censorship of news about racial disturbances in Britain!

Our whole attitude in Britain to the South African situation is totally wrong. We should recognise the South African Whites as engaged in a just war for national and racial survival against the forces of terror and barbarism. We should support the struggle of the South African Whites in every way we can, for, in the final analysis their fight is our fight and, as we shall soon see, their enemy is our enemy!

BLACK TERROR IN SOUTH AFRICA
Man lies dead, murdered by mob

PRO-SOUTH AFRICA DAY IN HULL

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY staged a highly successful pair of rallies in Hull on the 21st June in support of White South Africa and in opposition to the anti-South African campaign now being whipped up by politicians and media.

The first rally was an indoor event and mainly attended by party supporters, of whom about 130-140 were present, predominantly from the North of England.

After the indoor rally the BNP contingent formed up and marched through the city centre with flags flying (including the South African flag) and broadcasting its message of support for South Africa to passers by.

The march ended at a traditional open-air speakers' site. A gang of left-wingers had occupied the actual site and the BNP were obliged by the police to hold their rally about 100 yards away. Nevertheless, a number of the ordinary public' whose attention had been attracted by the march, attended and heard the BNP message.

Speakers at the rally were John Tyndall, David Bruce, Stanley Clayton-Garnett and Leeds Organiser David Owens, who was given a big ovation when he promised the audience that his recent imprisonment and loss of employment due to 'race hate' charges were not going to stop him but had only made him more determined to continue the fight.

The event won considerable local publicity and has provided a fine boost to the fast-growing Hull Branch.

B.N.P. SUBS: The party is shortly about to delete from its membership lists all those whose subs have not been paid beyond 1984. If you are one of these and want to remain a member, please get your money in quickly.

MR. HARRINGTON WRITES TO US

Following our report in the May issue on a fracas between National Front members in an East End pub, we have received the following letter from Mr. Patrick Harrington, who was mentioned in the report:-

Dear Mr. Morse,

My attention has been drawn to an article in the May 1986 edition of the *British Nationalist* and which was entitled 'The Saviours of Britain: a Close-up'. The article contained a number of defamatory references to me.

The article stated: ''In another incident Mr. Ian Anderson, who, despite his Oxford background, appears to be aligned with the 'working class', 'street activist' faction, threw a punch at Patrick Harrington, one of the intellectual fraternity. Not exactly surprisingly, both factions were thrown out of the pub and told never to come back.''

I have never been thrown out of a pub in my life nor has Ian Anderson ever thrown a punch at me. I can only think that whoever wrote the article in question was 'under the influence'.

I hope that you will have the decency to apologise to me in the next issue of your paper, if not, I shall have no option but to place the matter in the hands of my legal advisors.

Yours sincerely
PATRICK HARRINGTON

From our office the following reply was sent to Mr. Harrington:-

Dear Mr. Harrington,

I am replying on behalf of Mr. Morse to your letter of the 29th May.

The source from which we heard the account of what happened in the East End is normally a reliable one, otherwise we would not have published the report in the form we did. However, we are quite willing to print your disclaimer — without your having to make silly threats of legal action if we do not. I would have thought that an incorrect report that Mr. Anderson hit you would have been more of a cause for complaint on his part than on yours.

Since our comment on your fracas was published we have also heard that the use of ammonia in an attempt to settle an argument was down to one of your friends and not one of your adversaries.

Perhaps, Mr. Harrington, you could do all a service if you tell us what happened as you saw it from your vantage point. Who hit whom? Who threw ammonia in the face of whom? We naturally want to get the facts of this brawl absolutely right, and we are certainly willing to publish your account of the event.

We await your answer with great interest.

Yours sincerely
BARRY CLARK

In reply to this letter we received another letter from Mr. Harrington, which read as follows:-

Dear Mr. Clark,

Thank you for your letter of the 10th of June, 1986 which was written in reply to a letter sent by me to Mr. John Morse which was dated the 29th of May 1986.

I am sorry to hear that your informant who you say is ''normally a reliable one'' has not proved reliable in so far as the information which formed the basis of your article ''The Saviours of Britain: a Close-up'' contained a number of unfounded and defamatory references to me. I am prepared, however, to accept that your paper made an honest mistake. I am pleased to hear that you are prepared to print a statement correcting your earlier mistake.

As far as your questions contained in the last paragraph of your letter of the 10th of June are concerned, I am unable to be of any assistance. I do not engage in speculation or gossip. I would simply point out that I was not present during the incident your article described.

Yours sincerely
PATRICK HARRINGTON

Thanks from SW Region

Through the pages of *British Nationalist* may I express the heartfelt thanks of all those members in the South West Region of the BNP for the comradely generosity of all those members and supporters from around the country who contributed to the appeal we had to make for help with the vicious fines imposed on four of our activists for distributing party literature. From a widow's £1 to a princely £1,000 from one member (whom I hesitate to mention by name lest he not want the publicity) the response was magnificent. So much so that we were able to return to HQ a substantial over-subscription. With this kind of support we shall never be deterred from the battle to press home our party message to the public, many of whom we know to be shocked by the revelation that in our country freedom of political expression is suppressed. Our own determination to continue to combat the evil forces of 'anti-racism' is only strengthened by each attack upon us. They cannot legislate against the hearts and minds of men and, true to the words of one of our party songs, ''to multi-racial tyranny we'll never bend the knee...''

LEN BEARSFORD-WALKER

SUMMER CAMP: BOOK NOW!

THE B.N.P. SUMMER CAMP in Cornwall, scheduled for August Bank Holiday, is going to be the best attended yet. Already 60 bookings have been received. SW Region of the party, who are organising the event, have asked us to remind everyone who intends to go but has not yet booked to do so without delay. The address to which to write can be found in the right-hand column of this page.

Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the areas of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of the local addresses of the main units:-

NORTH LONDON
PO Box 462, Greenwood Road, Hackney, London E8 4HH

WEST LONDON
PO Box 33, Greenford, Middx. UB6 8DS

SOUTH LONDON
PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS

Bromley
PO Box 457, London SE20 7QE

EAST KENT
73 Shirkoak Park, Woodchurch, Ashford

DEVON & CORNWALL
PO Box 75, Plymouth PL1 1SQ

EAST ANGLIA (NORTH)
A9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

EAST ANGLIA (SOUTH)
2 Albert Road, Framlingham, Suffolk

EAST MIDLANDS
PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

BIRMINGHAM
PO Box 771, Great Barr, Birmingham B44 9LZ

WORCESTERSHIRE
51 Park Lane, Kidderminster DY11 6TE

STOKE-ON-TRENT
PO Box 320, Tunstall, Stoke

LIVERPOOL
PO Box 72, Liverpool L69 8AJ

MANCHESTER
PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

YORKSHIRE
Leeds & Bradford
PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13
Wakefield
PO Box 42, Wakefield
Hull
PO Box 58, Hull HU6 7HR

TYNE & WEAR
PO Box 8, South Shields NE33 1LP

SCOTLAND
PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL

The BNP also has units in West Kent, Basingstoke (Hants), Gloucestershire, Hertfordshire, Bedford, Cambridge, Devizes (Wilts), Brierley Hill'(W. Midlands), Coventry, Burton-on-Trent, Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Halifax and Sheffield. If you want to make contact with any of these units, or start a new unit, please write to Party Head Office at: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

BNP Recordings

RALLY '82
Speeches from the BNP national rally in London on October 16th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'
Side 1: Includes speech by Charles Parker; **Side 2:** Speech by John Tyndall.

VOICES OF NATIONALISM
Side 1: Recordings of speeches from the Nationalist Unity rally in London on September 5th 1981. Hear John Tyndall, Kenneth McKilliam, Len Bearsford Walker and others. **Side 2:** Talk by John Tyndall on the coming British Revolution.

TYNDALL SPEAKS I
Side 1: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage' (about the world-wide dispersal of the peoples of British stock); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Britain's economic crisis'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS II
Side 1: Talk on 'The case for Nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Tragedy of the 20th Century' (analysis of the results of the Second World War).

TYNDALL SPEAKS III
Side 1: ''Why we must repatriate'' (theme self-explanatory); **Side 2:** ''Foundations of the national community'' (analysis of rights and duties in a racial-nationalist state).

These 5 sets of recordings are presented in cassette form and are available at £3.50 each (with 18p postage) from: BNP Recordings, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of Nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20-page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 50p. Yearly subscription £8.00. 62p for sample copy to *Spearhead*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS

BUY *BRITISH NATIONALIST* IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers please order *only* in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies	£1.20 + 34p post
25 copies	£2.70 + 98p post
50 copies	£4.80 + £1.82 post
100 copies	£8.40 + £2.23 post
150 copies	£12.00 + £2.44 post
200 copies	£15.00 + £2.65 post
300 copies	£21.00 + £2.80 post
400 copies	£26.40 + £3.10 post
500 copies	£32.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies	£62.00) by roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

EDITORIAL

(Contd. from page 2)

nation can be described as truly sovereign.

This is why we believe that all of the established parties are wrong on nuclear defence policy. The only means for Britain to be sovereign and to retain the power to protect her vital interests is a completely independent nuclear capability, supplied entirely from British factories and totally under British control. For this reason we are against the purchase of a successor to Polaris, just as we believe the purchase of Polaris itself was wrong. Britain should adopt the French policy of a nuclear capability within her means to produce and control and adequate to deter attack by any other power. That does not require a capablity as big as those possessed by the US or USSR but merely one big enough to inflict such destruction on a would-be enemy of our country that any gain in attacking us or any of our areas of interest would be cancelled out.

Any alternative nuclear weapons policy, such as places us in a position of dependence on any foreign power, simply is not worth the money.

POLICE CHIEF PROMOTES MULTI-RACIALIST LIES

(Contd. from page 2)

ment policies in all fields, including of course multi-racialism.

In addition to spouting nonsense which indicates his willingness to act as a mouthpiece for the multi-racial lobby in the perpetuation of its many myths and falsehoods concerning 'racism' (i.e. the desire of British people to have a country of their own), Newman went on in his report to make what look suspiciously like veiled threats against Whites. He spoke of ''harnessing the support of local communities'' in the investigation of ''racial incidents.'' This would seem to indicate the prospective lining up of police by their commanders with the multi-racialist lobby in a new offensive of intimidation and repression against the British people.

The white people of Britain must understand that they are becoming contemptuously insulted in this manner because those in public office today, most of them devoid of the smallest loyalty to those of their own kind, see them as **unorganised** and therefore **powerless**. Britain's ruling establishment is run by cowards, careerists and traitors, who are quite prepared to betray their own race and nation in a corrupt scramble for salaries and promotion on the basis of cynical calculations of short-term personal interest.

The effective defence of the white people of Britain can only be achieved, as we have repeatedly said, on the basis of a political fight-back — which itself can only be organised under the banner of **British Nationalism**.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.45 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send to PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £5 is required on all foreign currency POs and cheques and an additional £1 on all foreign currency sent in banknotes.

Name.....

Address.....

.....

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system that will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work — with the proviso that work is locally available.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support for the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to corrupt Third World regimes.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The smashing of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repealing of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.
(Tick appropriate box)

Please send me more information, for which I enclose 25p ☐

I wish to become a full member and enclose £6 (Half-rate for OAPs and students) ☐

I wish to become an associate member and enclose £3 (no half-rate) ☐

Name.....

Address.....

.....

Printed and published by BN Press, London SE23 2LS